STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Re: Pennichuck East Utility, Inc. – Maple Hills/SRF Financing

DW 08-

## DIRECT PREFILED TESTIMONY OF DONALD L. WARE

February 15, 2008

## 1 Professional and Educational Background

- 2 Q. What is your name and what is your position with Pennichuck East Utility, 3 Inc.? 4 My name is Donald L. Ware. I am the President of Pennichuck East Α. 5 Utility, Inc. (the "Company"). I have worked for the Company since 6 Pennichuck Corporation ("Pennichuck") formed the Company in 1998 to 7 acquire a number of community water systems. I am a licensed 8 professional engineer in New Hampshire, Massachusetts and Maine. 9 Q. Please describe your educational background. 10 Α. I have a Bachelor in Science degree in Civil Engineering from Bucknell 11 University in Lewisburg, Pennsylvania and I completed all the required 12 courses, with the exception of my thesis, for a Masters degree in Civil 13 Engineering from the same institution. I have a Masters in Business 14 Administration from the Whittemore Business School at the University of 15 New Hampshire. 16 Q. Please describe your professional background. 17 Α. Prior to joining Pennichuck Corporation, I served as the General Manager 18 of the Augusta Water District in Augusta, Maine from 1986 to 1995. I 19 served as the District's engineer between 1982 and 1986. Prior to my 20 engagement with the District, I served as a design engineer for the State 21 of Maine Department of Transportation for six months and before that as a 22 design engineer for Buchart-Horn Consulting Engineers from 1979 to
- 23 1982.

1	Q.	What are your responsibilities as President of the Company?
2	Α.	As President, I am responsible for the overall operations of the Company,
3		including water quality and supply, distribution, engineering and water
4		system capital improvements. With regard to capital improvements
5		overseen by the Company's Engineering Department, I work closely with
6		the Department and the Company's Chief Engineer regarding project
7		selection, project design, project management and construction
8		management.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	Α.	I will be describing the state of the Maple Hills community water system
11		("MHCWS") and the options available for making upgrades to that system.
12	Q.	Please describe the current status of the MHCWS.
13	Α.	The MHCWS is located in Derry and was constructed by a developer in
14		1969 and then acquired by Southern New Hampshire Water Company. In
15		1998, the system was acquired by the Company. The MHCWS currently
16		serves 182 single-family residential customers. On an average year-
17		round basis, each home uses approximately 201 gallons per day. All
18		water flowing to these 182 homes is provided by two wells, with a
19		combined sixty-four gallon-per-minute capacity. The water pumped from
20		these wells is treated for iron, manganese, sulfides and radon and then is
21		discharged into four atmospheric tanks with a total capacity of 40,000
22		gallons. The water from the atmospheric tanks is then pumped into two

		hydropneumatic tanks, with a combined capacity of 20,000 gallons, where					
2		it is then discharged into the distribution system.					
3	Q.	Have the tanks you just referred to been replaced since the original					
4		installation date?					
5	Α.	No, they have not. Both the atmospheric and hydropneumatic tanks are					
6		thirty-eight years old. The existing treatment equipment, storage tanks,					
7		buildings and mechanical equipment have all reached the end of their					
8		useful lives and need to be replaced. In particular, failure to replace the					
9		tanks in the near future could result in a tank failure and a loss of supply.					
10	Q.	Mr. Ware, how would you describe the water quality for the MHCWS?					
11	Α.	The existing MHCWS wells are high in radon, sulfides, iron and					
12		manganese. The radon and sulfides are currently being removed via a					
13		packed tower aeration system, but the iron and manganese levels are not					
14		currently removed from the finished water. The current levels of iron and					
15		manganese exceed the secondary Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)					
16		standards and result in staining of water fixtures and the coloring of light					
17		laundry.					
18	Q.	Does the packed tower aeration work adequately for the removal of the					
19		radon and sulfides?					
20	Α.	Yes, although the structure of the aeration tower is rusting through and is					
21		in need of replacement. The tower packing has also become fouled and					
22		needs to be replaced as well if this system is to continue to function					
23		properly.					

1	Q.	How long can the existing Maple Hills water supply system continue to						
2		function properly without being replaced?						
3	A.	The existing treatment system needs to be replaced this year. The						
4		existing tanks and buildings need to be replaced in the next two years at						
5		the latest.						
6	Q.	Are there any other concerns or issues with the operation of the MHCWS?						
7	Α.	Yes, there are. As I just mentioned, the water from the existing wells						
8		needs to be treated to remove the radon and sulfides. Additionally, the						
9		iron and manganese in the raw water should be removed to below the						
10		SDWA secondary standards. Furthermore, there is no emergency power						
11		located at the MHCWS and as a result whenever there is a power outage						
12		this system is without water.						
13	Q.	Mr. Ware, please explain the options available to PEU for improving the						
14		treatment and storage for the MHCWS.						
15	Α.	There are two available options to solve the challenges at the MHCWS.						
16		Option One is to continue with on-site water supply, treatment and						
17		storage, which would require replacing the existing atmospheric storage						
18		and hydropneumatic tanks, replacing the existing packed tower treatment						
19		system, adding treatment for iron and manganese, constructing a new						
20		community water station to house the treatment and pumps and installing						
21		a new emergency generator. The Option Two is to construct an						
22		interconnection with, and purchase water from, the Town of Derry Water						
23		Department. The interconnection would eliminate the need to complete						

- 1 any of the upgrades detailed in Option One and would involve a
- 2 significantly lower level of capital expenditure.
- 3 Q. What is the cost of Option One?

A. As I mentioned earlier, the existing building, booster pumps, station piping, atmospheric storage, hydropnuematic storage, and treatment facilities are nearing the end of their useful life. As a result, Option One would require completely replacing the existing facilities. The total capital cost required to accomplish this replacement, including the addition of emergency power facilities, is estimated to be \$869,300. A spreadsheet detailing this cost is attached as Schedule DLW-1.

- 11 Q. What would the annual operating cost be for the upgraded facility?
- A. The annual operating cost for Option One, including the debt service and
  depreciation expenses, is estimated to be \$102,738 per year as shown on
  Schedule DLW-1.
- 15 Q. Mr. Ware, please describe what is required to implement Option Two,
  16 purchasing water from the Derry Water Department.
- 17 A. This option requires the construction of an interconnection with the Town
- 18 of Derry Water Department consisting of approximately 1,240 lineal feet of
- 19 6" water main and a small booster station with emergency power. The
- 20 cost to implement this option is \$593,985. A spreadsheet detailing this
- 21 cost is also shown on Schedule DLW-1.

22 Q. What would the annual operating cost be to purchase water from the

23 Derry Water Department?

1	Α.	The estimated annual operating cost for this option would be \$86,858,
2		which includes the cost of purchasing water, the debt service, and the
3		depreciation expense.
4	Q.	Mr. Ware, in your opinion, what is the preferred method for upgrading the
5		MHCWS?
6	Α.	The preferred method of upgrading the MHCWS water supply works is the
7		interconnection with the Town of Derry Water system due to the fact that
8		the annual operating costs of purchasing water from the Derry Water
9		Department are approximately 18% less than replacing the existing
10		treatment, storage, and pumping facilities. In addition to the cost
11		considerations, the interconnection is favored by the NHDES as
12		recognized by their funding of a 25% grant to interconnect water systems.
13		PEU intends to proceed with plans to improve the MHCWS by purchasing
14		water from the Derry Water Department because it is financially and
15		operationally the proper solution to the MHCWS water supply needs.
16	Q.	Does this complete your testimony?
17	Α.	Yes.

Pennichuck East Utility, Inc. DW 08-\_\_\_\_ Schedule DLW-1

Penr	licht	uck East U	tilities Page 1 of 4		
Мар	le Hi	IIs SRF Est	imate		
	Revise	d February 1, 20	08		
	0	n Sito with	 		Dorm
				Inte	Derry
014 . 14/		reatment		Inte	rconnection
Site work:	•	45.000		•	5 000
Clearing & Grubbing -	3 0	15,000		<u> </u>	5,000
Driveway & Parking -	\$	35,000		<u> </u>	10,000
Site Excavation, backfill -	\$ ©	35,000		<u> </u>	16,000
Easement Aquistion -	\$	-		\$	25,000
Utility Piping:					
Water (between existing and new stations) -	\$	20,000	See next worksheet	\$	237,186
Gas -	\$	2,500		\$	-
Drainage -	\$	10,000		\$	5,000
Landscaping -	\$	5,000		\$	10,000
Building Structure:					
Frost Wall, Block, Roof, Doors, Slab -	\$	240,000	18'x24' Building @\$150/SF	\$	64,800
(40'x40' Building @\$150/SF)					
Process:					
Iron & Manganese -	\$	85 000		\$	
Radon Hydrogen Sulfide -	S	40,000		\$	
Chem Feed - Chlorine, Corrosion Control -	S	5 000	Chlorine & Phosphate	\$	5 000
Storage (40,000 Gallons) -	\$	60,000		\$	
Backwash/Residuals -	\$	45.000		\$	
		,	1 1		
Mechanical:					
Piping -	\$	85,000		\$	55,000
Plumbing -	\$	10,000		\$	1,500
Heating -	\$	1,500		\$	500
Booster Pumps (4@7.5 HP) -	\$	18,000		\$	18,000
Electrical:	æ	10.000		¢	
	Ф Ф	110,000		¢ v	62 000
Cenerator -	¢	32,000		¢ ¢	25,000
	<u>Ψ</u>	02,000		<u>Ψ</u>	20,000
Total Estimated Project Cost -	\$	864,000		\$	539,986
Project Contingency (10%) -	\$	5,314		\$	53,999
Total Estimated Project Cost -	\$	869,314		\$	593,985
Booster Station costs -	\$	744 314		\$	356 700
Water Main Costs -	Š	20 000		\$	237 186
Storage Costs -	\$	105.000		\$	
01010490 00010		,			

.....

				DW 0	S
Pennic	huc	k East Ut	ility, Inc.	. Sched	ule DLW-1
Maple Hills SI	RF a	nd Interco	nnection	Grant Page 2	of 4
Wa	ter N	lain Install	ation	•	
	Revi	sed 2/1/200	)8		
Materials		Ownerstitus	11	Unit Drive	Enternalism.
Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Extension
5' Valve Box Complete	-	13	EA	\$81.00	\$1,053
8" CI 52 DIPCL	-	5	LF	\$14.54	\$73
6" CI 52 DIPCL		1240	LF	\$10.55	\$13,082
8"x8"x6" MJ Hydrant Tee		1	EA	\$191.00	\$191
6"x6"x4" MJ Tee	-	2	2 EA		\$198
8" Gate Valve	-	2	EA	\$607.00	\$1,214
6"_Gate Valve	-	7	EA	\$389.00	\$2,723
4" Gate Valve	-	2	EA	\$304.00	\$608
6"X4" MJ Reducer	-	1	EA	\$38.00	\$38
6" MJ Fittings	-	6	EA	\$69.00	\$414
8" Couplings	-	2	EA	\$101.00	\$202
6" Couplings	-	1	EA	\$85.00	\$85
4" Couplings	-	3	EA	\$62.00	\$186
8" Meg-A-Lugs	-	6	EA	\$36.00	\$216
6" Meg-A-Lugs	-	17	EA	\$31.00	\$527
4" Meg-A-Lugs	-	7	FA	\$1 250 00	\$8,750
Shop Made Thrust Blocks	_	9	FA	\$38.00	\$342
1" type K copper		204		\$9.00	\$1,836
1" Curb Stop		17	FΔ	\$78.00	\$1,326
1" Corporation		17	FΔ	\$75.00	\$1 275
Service Box Complete		17		\$20.00	\$403
Gravel Subbase 24"	-	726		\$29.00	¢490
Boyomont Tronch Densit 2"v6' wide	-	130	Tone	\$24.00	\$17,002
Pavement Overley (26' wide x 1 5")		200	Tone	\$195.00	φ22,479 ¢25,274
Sand Dadding	-	299	TONS	00.00	\$20,374
	-	552	UT Mate	JZU.UU	\$11,039 \$444,200
				\$111,300	
		5	% materia	ais contingency -	\$5,569
N	later	als I otals n	ninus Cont	ractor mark up -	\$116,956
		Contractor N	Aaterials N	\$11,696	
			Project T	otal - Materials -	\$128,651
Labor, Equipment and Materials					
Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Linit Price	Extension
Mobilization/Demobilization		1	IS	00 000 88	\$6 000
Pine Crew - Fittings/valves/Hydrants	#	1		\$3,000.00	\$12 800
Pine Crew - Water Main Installation				\$3,200.00	\$24 800
Service Crow		0 0		\$2.200.00	¢4,000
Air Palaasa Fitting Crow	<b>-</b> _	<u> </u>		¢2,200.00	000 000
Draceura Testing and Cl2	<b>-</b> _	- I 		φ <u>ζ</u> , <u>ζ</u> 30.00	ψ <u>2,2</u> 50 ¢ <u>000</u>
Fressure resurg and CIZ		2		\$450.00	9900 6E4.0E0
					₹70,250
		0		Project I otal -	\$179,901
		Overall Pro	oject Contii	ngency @ 10% -	<u>\$17,990</u>
	F	Project Tota	i w/out Inte	ernal Overhead -	\$197,891
External Engine	eerin	g (Survey,	soils, struc	tural, site plan) -	\$28,000
Internal O	verhe	ad (Engine	ering, Proj	ect Mgt) @ 5% -	\$11,295
			Total I	Estimated Cost -	\$237,186
Dia s las (s 0 s	lan	1	<b>C</b> === d=	~	
Pipe installat	(ION   -	160	∣∟⊢ per da	y	

Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.

Pennichuck East Utility, Inc. DW 08-\_\_\_\_ Schedule DLW-1 Page 3 of 4

Pennichuck East Utility, Inc		
Maple Hills SRF Upgrade		
Life Cycle Cost Analysis		
Device J February 4, 0000		
Revised February 1, 2008		
On Site Storage and Treatment -		
Well Electricity (10 HP )* -	\$	2,347
Annual Well maintenance -	\$	1,333
Annual Well Water Quality Sampling -	\$	6,318
Treatment System Labor (4 hours per week)-	\$	8,320
Zone Booster Electricity (10 HP @ 24 Hrs/day) -	\$	6,582
Radon Blower Electricity (10 HP when wells are running) -	\$	2,347
Misc. Electricity -	\$	1,975
Treatment Chemicals -	\$	6,500
Depreciation on Water Mains @ 1.33% -	\$	
Deprecation on Storage @ 2% -	\$	2,100
Depreciation on Zone Booster and treatment @ 2.5% -	\$	18,608
Property Taxes -	\$	17,169
Debt Service on Total Project -		29,139
Estimated Annual Operating Cost -	\$	102,738
Estimated Annual Increase in PEU Bill -		19.33
Purchased Water from Derry Water Department -		
Purchased Water Cost -	\$	44,092
Zone Booster Electricity (10 HP @ 24 Hrs/day) -	\$	6,582
Zone Booster Labor & Equipment (4 hours per month) -	\$	693
Misc. Electricity -	\$	1,975
Depreciation on Water Mains @ 1.33% -	\$	3,155
Depreciation on Zone Booster @ 2.5% -	\$	8,920
Deprecation on Storage @ 2% -	\$	-
Property Taxes -	\$	11,731
Debt Service on Total Project -	\$	19,910
Less 25% grant on interconnect -	<u>\$</u>	(10,200)
Estimated Annual Operating Cost -	\$	86,858
Estimated Annual Increase in PEU Bill -		16.35
Model Data		
Average cost per KwHr -	\$	0 13
SRF Loan Rate -	<b>↓</b> •	3,35%
Number of in PEU customers -		5314
*Based on (gpd/house)		201
Existing Maple Hills Well production (gpm)-		95
Number of customers in Maple Hills -		182
Town Mil Rate -		\$19.8
Purchased Water Cost from Derry (per 748 gallons)-	\$	2.47
On site Capex per Estimate-	\$	869.314
Interconnection Capex per Estimate -	\$	593,985

Pennichuck East Utility, Inc. DW 08-\_\_\_\_ Schedule DLW-1 Page 4 of 4

	Pennic	huck East	st Utilities								
	Maple	Hills SRF	Estimate								
	Anticipated Monthly Payment										
		27-Jun-07	7	r							
	Amoun	t of Loan -	\$ 593,985								
Mort	gage Style	Payment -	(\$3,399.87)	per month							
Data:											
	Duratio	n of Bond -	20	Years							
	Inte	rest Rate -	3.3520%								
Numbe	r of Paymer	nt Periods -	240								